

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF HOWARD COUNTY MEETING AGENDA ITEM

TITLE:	Assignmer	nt of Relocatable C	lassrooms		DATE:	April 9, 2015
PRESENTE	R(S):	Joel Gallihue, M	Ianager, Scho	ol Planning		
VISION 201	8 GOAL:	Students	⊠ Staff	☐ Families and Co	ommunity	○ Organization
overcrowdi cost effecti for instruct	e classroon ng. Units ve to opera ional use, b	are maintained by the and maintain, the	the Departme ney are remove withstand the	ty Public School Syst nt of School Facilities ed from service. Som stress of additional m ed.	s, and when ι ne existing ur	nits are no longer nits may be suitable
This report number of a administrat recommend	represents relocatable ive staff ev led for place	an evaluation by s classrooms and advaluating schools m cement by staff, wi	taff using conditional programmes timpacted the an additional	held in early March to siderations of capacit rams housed at a scho by enrollment growth al fourteen to provide ed by the construction	ty, projected ool. Staff has h. Twelve under for construction	reviewed needs with its are initially tion phasing
		FUTURE DIRECTION condation for placement		or the school year 20	15 – 2016.	
SUBMITTEI BY:	D		A PPD OV	AL/CONCURRENCE:		
ы.		allihue, Manager Planning	AIIROV	AL/CONCURRENCE.	Renee A. F. Superintend	oose, Ed.D. dent
					Susan C. M. Chief of Sta	
					Camille B. Chief Oper	Jones ating Officer
					Bruce Gist Executive I Facilities, F	Director Planning and Mgmt.

Proposed Changes Relocatable Classrooms

Elementary

Current Relocatables	Proposed Relocatables	% Util	% w/ Relocatables	Program Notes
3	3	86.3%	73.3%	Pre-K, Preschool, MINC
5	5			Pre-K, Preschool, MINC, EB, ES PL
1				Title I TAS, Pre-K, Preschool, MINC
4				Title I, Pilot Full-day Pre-K
0	0		77.5%	Pre-K, Preschool, MINC
5				
			500 St. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co	
				Title I, Pre-K, Preschool
E ₹21				Pre-K, Preschool, MINC, EB
				Title I TAS, Pre-K, Preschool, MINC
0				The state of the s
				Pre-K
				Regional ED
				Pre-K, Preschool, MINC
				Title I, Pre-K
				11001,11010
			E. Johnson History	Pre-K, Preschool, MINC
			7.000 COOK STORY	Pre-K, Preschool, MINC, ES PL
		200000000000000000000000000000000000000		Tie-K, Freschool, Willyo, Edit E
1			100 C	Title I, Pre-K
The second secon				THE I, I IC-IC
				Title I TAS, Pre-K, Preschool, MINC
				Title 1 1AO, 1 1e-R, 1 1eschool, WillYO
	A comment of the comm			
	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF			Title I, Pilot Full-day Pre-K
				Pre-K, Preschool, MINC, ES PL, ALS
				Pre-K, Preschool, MINC
1				Title I, Pilot Full-day Pre-K, Preschool
				Title 1, 1 liot 1 dil-day 1 16-15, 1 lescriber
				Title I, Pilot Full-day Pre-K
				Title I, Pre-K
		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		Title I, Pilot Full-day Pre-K
				ALS
				EB
				EB, Pre-K, Preschool, MINC
	1		The second control of	Pre-K, Regional ED, Preschool, MINC
				Pre-K, ALS, Preschool, MINC, ES PL
			Name of the state	ALS
1	1	91.0%	87.3%	ALU
	Relocatables 3 5 2 4 0 5 1 3 3 0 6 0 4 5 0 2 5 1 3 2 2 2 1 8 1 1 7 9 1 3 6 5 4 8 1 0 6 4 3 0	Relocatables Relocatables 3 3 5 5 2 2 4 4 0 0 5 5 1 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 0 0 6 6 0 0 4 4 5 5 0 0 2 2 5 5 1 1 3 3 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 3 4 12 8 8 1 2 0 0 6 <td>Relocatables Relocatables 3 3 86.3% 5 5 88.9% 2 2 106.3% 4 4 89.2% 0 0 77.5% 5 5 105.6% 1 1 76.3% 3 3 96.0% 3 3 106.8% 0 0 76.4% 6 6 105.4% 0 0 112.7% 4 4 103.9% 5 5 111.2% 0 0 95.9% 2 2 96.0% 5 5 105.4% 1 1 99.1% 3 3 102.7% 2 2 96.0% 5 5 105.4% 1 1 99.1% 3 3 102.7% 2 2 10.9% 2</td> <td>Relocatables Relocatables 3 3 86.3% 73.3% 5 5 88.9% 76.3% 2 2 106.3% 98.9% 4 4 89.2% 69.8% 0 0 77.5% 77.5% 5 5 105.6% 88.5% 1 1 76.3% 73.3% 3 3 96.0% 83.9% 3 3 96.0% 83.9% 3 3 106.8% 89.9% 0 0 76.4% 76.4% 6 6 105.4% 86.1% 0 0 112.7% 112.7% 4 4 103.9% 91.9% 5 5 111.2% 93.7% 0 0 95.9% 95.9% 2 2 96.0% 89.6% 5 5 105.4% 83.1% 1 1 1 99.1%</td>	Relocatables Relocatables 3 3 86.3% 5 5 88.9% 2 2 106.3% 4 4 89.2% 0 0 77.5% 5 5 105.6% 1 1 76.3% 3 3 96.0% 3 3 106.8% 0 0 76.4% 6 6 105.4% 0 0 112.7% 4 4 103.9% 5 5 111.2% 0 0 95.9% 2 2 96.0% 5 5 105.4% 1 1 99.1% 3 3 102.7% 2 2 96.0% 5 5 105.4% 1 1 99.1% 3 3 102.7% 2 2 10.9% 2	Relocatables Relocatables 3 3 86.3% 73.3% 5 5 88.9% 76.3% 2 2 106.3% 98.9% 4 4 89.2% 69.8% 0 0 77.5% 77.5% 5 5 105.6% 88.5% 1 1 76.3% 73.3% 3 3 96.0% 83.9% 3 3 96.0% 83.9% 3 3 106.8% 89.9% 0 0 76.4% 76.4% 6 6 105.4% 86.1% 0 0 112.7% 112.7% 4 4 103.9% 91.9% 5 5 111.2% 93.7% 0 0 95.9% 95.9% 2 2 96.0% 89.6% 5 5 105.4% 83.1% 1 1 1 99.1%

ALS

Regional Academic Life Skills

Preschool

Preschool Program, including Parent Assisted Learning at Schools

Pre-K Income qualifying Pre-K program

Early Beginnings - SE services for very young children

EB Title 1

State approved based upon income

Regional ED MINC

Regional Emotional Disabilities Program (draws from other schools)

Multiple Intensive Needs Classroom (Toddler, Preschool/K, and/or Early Learner)

ES PL

Elementary School Primary Learner Program

Proposed Changes Relocatable Classrooms

Middle

School	Current	Proposed	% Util	% w/	Program Notes
	Relocatables	Relocatables		Relocatables	
Bonnie Branch MS	2	2	101.4%	94.2%	
Burleigh Manor MS	0	1 1	99.0%	95.9%	
Clarksville MS	5	5	96.6%	80.9%	
Dunloggin MS	5	5	108.8%	89.1%	
Elkridge Landing MS	2	2	91.9%	86.4%	
Ellicott Mills MS	3	3	119.0%	106.9%	Regional ED
Folly Quarter MS	0	0	91.7%	91.7%	
Glenwood MS	6	6	105.9%	83.0%	
Hammond MS	3	3	96.4%	85.7%	
Harpers Choice MS	5	5	107.9%	86.5%	
Lake Elkhorn MS	1	1 1	77.8%	74.9%	1
Lime Kiln MS	0	0	103.3%	103.3%	ALS
Mayfield Woods MS	2	2	85.3%	80.3%	
Mount View MS	2	2	93.1%	87.6%	
Murray Hill MS	6	6	94.3%	76.8%	Regional ED
Oakland Mills MS	0	0	85.2%	85.2%	
Patapsco MS	2	2	111.7%	103.6%	
Patuxent Valley MS	6	6	88.9%	74.3%	
Thomas Viaduct MS	0	0	81.3%	81.3%	
Wilde Lake MS	13	13	122.7%	72.3%	
Total	63	64			

High

School	Current	Proposed	% Util	% w/	Program Notes
	Relocatables	Relocatables		Relocatables	
Atholton HS	14	5	106.4%	97.4%	
Centennial HS	4	4	107.0%	99.7%	
Glenelg HS	0	0	89.3%	89.3%	
Hammond HS	4	4	106.5%	98.4%	
Howard HS	6	15	123.3%	97.5%	
Long Reach HS	3	3	102.3%	97.4%	
Marriotts Ridge HS	0	0	74.9%	74.9%	
Mt Hebron HS	4	4	107.4%	100.3%	Regional ED
New HS #13	0	0	0.0%	0.0%	
Oakland Mills HS	0	0	78.6%	78.6%	ALS
Reservoir HS	5	5	97.4%	90.1%	Regional ED
River Hill HS	0	0	85.1%	85.1%	
Wilde Lake HS	0	0	89.8%	89.8%	
Total	40	40			

2015 Recommendations:

Ilchester ES	+1
Thunder Hill ES	+1
Burleigh Manor MS	+1
Howard HS	+9

12 units total

Estimated Construction Project Phasing Requirements:

Wilde Lake MS	+9
Swansfield ES	+8

17 units total

Veterans ES -1

-1 units total demolished

Relocatable Classroom Assignments 2015-2016

Overview: The 2015 recommendation concerns 12 units for enrollment and program needs and 17 units estimated for construction phasing. One demolition is recommended. Specific recommendations and justifications are presented below for the 12 units recommended for enrollment and program. The justification for the construction units is not spelled out in this report. These units provide alternative space or "swing space" during construction. The particulars of the phasing needs are reviewed separately in the project feasibility studies and communicated through the various stages of the design process.

Recommendations and Justifications:

Ilchester ES

Proj. % Utilized	Current	Proj. % Utilized	Proposed	Proj. % Utilized
(no relocatables)	Relocatables	w/Current Relocatables	Relocatables	w/Proposed Relocatables
106.9%	2	99.3%	3	95.9%

Justification: While Ilchester ES is projected to be less than 106.9% utilization next school year without the existing relocatable classrooms, this year utilization was 110.4% without the existing classrooms. Redistricting in this area was recently completed and is not likely to be reviewed until ES #42 is opened. Even if redistricting is considered it was highly controversial in the area. Temporary space allows the administrator greater flexibility to manage population swings in the interim.

Thunder Hill ES

Proj. % Utilized	Current	Proj. % Utilized	Proposed	Proj. % Utilized
(no relocatables)	Relocatables	w/Current Relocatables	Relocatables	w/Proposed Relocatables
108.4%	1	103.4%	2	98.7%

Justification: Thunder Hill ES is projected to be 108.4% utilization next school year without the existing relocatable classrooms. The present unit helps but like Ilchester ES, redistricting in this area was recently completed and is not likely to be reviewed until ES #42 is opened. Even if redistricting is considered, it will more likely be confined to the Rt. 1 area. Temporary space allows the administrator greater flexibility to manage population swings in the interim.

Burleigh Manor MS

Proj. % Utilized	Current	Proj. % Utilized	Proposed	Proj. % Utilized
(no relocatables)	Relocatables	w/Current Relocatables	Relocatables	w/Proposed Relocatables
99.0%	0	99.0%	1	95.9%

Justification:

While this school is not overcrowded the configuration of the school makes the delivery of the very popular music program difficult. Enclosed rooms are small and sound is difficult to contain in the open concept building core/media center. A relocatable classroom would aid in the delivery of this program.

Veterans ES

Proj. % Utilized	Current	Proj. % Utilized	Proposed	Proj. % Utilized
(no relocatables)	Relocatables	w/Current Relocatables	Relocatables	w/Proposed Relocatables
107.6%	6	90.4%	5	92.9%

Justification:

Relocatable classrooms were installed to manage crowding prior to redistricting relief which took effect in 2012. Some were removed but a number were allowed to remain because funds were not available for removal and the school could make use of them. The quality of unit #55 has fallen to an unacceptable level. It could be repaired if there was a strong need. It would be difficult and expensive to remove because a crane must be used to lift it over difficult terrain. The least cost option of demolition seems prudent at this time.

Howard HS

Proj. % Utilized	Current	Proj. % Utilized	Proposed	Proj. % Utilized
(no relocatables)	Relocatables	w/Current Relocatables	Relocatables	w/Proposed Relocatables
123.3%	6	111.5%	. 15	97.5%

Justification: Crowding at Howard HS continues. We have previously responded by installing six stand-alone relocatable classrooms. Redistricting is unlikely until we are prepared to open a new capital facility. Lower anticipated funding of the capital budget is likely to delay CIP priorities. Additional relocatable capacity will be difficult to install as stand-alone units. An opportunity has presented itself with the 9 classroom modular building that was used as swing space during the Atholton HS renovation. Installing this building, which includes bathrooms, would use less space than nine individual units. It provides temporary capacity to the school while capital funding for future facilities is given further consideration. It would also permit better coordination of existing programs like Technology Education and Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps.

20.0
3.6 32.3% 1.1% 71.4%
79.2% 76.1%
93.5% 81.7%
97.0% 79.3%
103.7% 103.7%
107.8% 90.8%
89.5% 89.5%
89.3% 83.3%
103.2% 81.4%
96.9% 93.4%
100.6% 90.8%
110.4% 102.6%
104.1% 95.3%
98.2% 94.8%
103.7% 98.9%
87.6% 87.6%
102.7% 86.2%
84.9% 73.8%
110.5% 98.9%
69.3% 69.3%
3.6% 89.8%

